

A NEW ALGORITHM FOR THE VARIANTS OF ACD PROBLEM

Jung Hee Cheon, Wonhee Cho, Minki Hhan,
Minsik Kang, Jiseung Kim, [Changmin Lee](#)

ENS de Lyon

June 27, 2019

Partial Approximate Common Divisor problem(PACD):

$$\begin{aligned}a_0 &= pq_0 \\a_1 &= pq_1 + r_1 \equiv r_1 \pmod{p} \\&\vdots \\a_\ell &= pq_\ell + r_\ell \equiv r_\ell \pmod{p}\end{aligned}$$

where p is a big secret prime and $r_i \ll p$.

Question : Given (a_0, \dots, a_ℓ) , Can we recover p ?

Answer : SDA, OLA, Coppersmith Method

Partial Approximate Common Divisor problem(PACD):

$$\begin{aligned}a_0 &= pq_0 \\a_1 &= pq_1 + r_1 \equiv r_1 \pmod{p} \\&\vdots \\a_\ell &= pq_\ell + r_\ell \equiv r_\ell \pmod{p}\end{aligned}$$

where p is a big secret prime and $r_i \ll p$.

Question : Given (a_0, \dots, a_ℓ) , Can we recover p ?

Answer : SDA, OLA, Coppersmith Method

Application:

- J.-S. Coron, A. Mandal, D. Naccache, M. Tibouchi. Fully homomorphic encryption over the integers with shorter public keys. CRYPTO 2011.
- J.-S. Coron, D. Naccache, M. Tibouchi. Public Key Compression and Modulus Switching for Fully Homomorphic Encryption over the Integers. EUROCRYPT 2012.
- J. H. Cheon, D. Stehle. Fully Homomorphic Encryption over the Integers Revisited. EUROCRYPT 2015.

CRT-ACD (Simple version):

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \\ a_1 &\equiv r_{i1} \pmod{p_i} \\ &\vdots \\ a_\ell &\equiv r_{i\ell} \pmod{p_i} \end{aligned}$$

where p_i are big secret primes (η -bit) and r_{ij} (ρ -bit) $\ll p_i$.

Question : Given (N, a_1, \dots, a_ℓ) , Can we recover p_i ?
What if $n = 2$?

CRT-ACD (Simple version):

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \\ a_1 &\equiv r_{i1} \pmod{p_i} \\ &\vdots \\ a_\ell &\equiv r_{i\ell} \pmod{p_i} \end{aligned}$$

where p_i are big secret primes (η -bit) and r_{ij} (ρ -bit) $\ll p_i$.

Question : Given (N, a_1, \dots, a_ℓ) , Can we recover p_i ?
What if $n = 2$?

Application:

- J. H. Cheon, J.-S. Coron, J. Kim, M. S. Lee, T. Lepoint, M. Tibouchi, A. Yun. Batch Fully Homomorphic Encryption over the Integers. EUROCRYPT 2013.
- J.-S. Coron, T. Lepoint, M. Tibouchi, Practical Multilinear Maps over the Integers. CRYPTO13
- J.-S. Coron, T. Lepoint, M. Tibouchi, New Multilinear Maps Over the Integers. CRYPTO15

CRT-ACD with a dual instance(CRT-ACDwDI):

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \\ a_1 &\equiv r_{i1} \pmod{p_i} \\ &\vdots \\ a_\ell &\equiv r_{i\ell} \pmod{p_i} \\ D &= \sum_i d_i \cdot N/p_i \end{aligned}$$

where p_i are big secret primes (η -bit) and r_{ij} (ρ -bit), $d_i \ll p_i$.

Question : Given $(N, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, D)$, Can we recover p_i ?

Answer : Yes!

CRT-ACD with a dual instance(CRT-ACDwDI):

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \\ a_1 &\equiv r_{i1} \pmod{p_i} \\ &\vdots \\ a_\ell &\equiv r_{i\ell} \pmod{p_i} \\ D &= \sum_i d_i \cdot N/p_i \end{aligned}$$

where p_i are big secret primes (η -bit) and r_{ij} (ρ -bit), $d_i \ll p_i$.

Question : Given $(N, a_1, \dots, a_\ell, D)$, Can we recover p_i ?

Answer : Yes!

Current Status

- There are 3 types of algorithms for solving **PACD**
- Algorithms for **PACD** cannot be applied to **CRT-ACD**.
- There is no algebraic algorithm to solve the **CRT-ACD** problem.
 - It is not known which parameter is safe.
- **CRT-ACDwDI** is solved in polynomial time in n, η [CHLRS15].

Our results

- We present an algorithm to solve the CRT-ACD problem
- It is solved in polynomial time if $n \leq \eta - 4 \cdot \rho$.
- We provide the first guideline to set n .

Current Status

- There are 3 types of algorithms for solving **PACD**
- Algorithms for **PACD** cannot be applied to **CRT-ACD**.
- There is no algebraic algorithm to solve the **CRT-ACD** problem.
 - It is not known which parameter is safe.
- **CRT-ACDwDI** is solved in polynomial time in n, η [CHLRS15].

Our results

- We present an algorithm to solve the CRT-ACD problem
- It is solved in polynomial time if $n \leq \eta - 4 \cdot \rho$.
- We provide the first guideline to set n .

Cryptanalysis of CRT-ACD

Notation:

- $\text{CRT}_{(p_i)}(r_i)$ defined as the unique integer in $\left(-\frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^n p_i, \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^n p_i\right]$ which is congruent to $r_i \pmod{p_i}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- $N = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$
- $\hat{p}_i = N/p_i$.

Note that we assume $n = 2$ for the sake of simplicity. So we use the notation $\text{CRT}_{(p_1, p_2)}(r_{i,1}, r_{i,2})$ as well.

Definition of CRT-ACD and Dual instance

Definition (CRT-ACD Problem, Simple version)

Let p_1 and p_2 be η -bit integers and $r_{i,1}$ and $r_{i,2}$ be ρ -bit integers with $\rho < \eta$. The CRT-ACD problem is:

Given many samples $\text{CRT}_{(p_1, p_2)}(r_{i,1}, r_{i,2})$ and N , find p_1, p_2 .

Definition (Dual instance, Simple version)

Let p_1 and p_2 be parameters of CRT-ACD problem. Dual instance of CRT-ACD is defined as an integer that is expressed in the following form.

$$D = \sum_i^n d_i \cdot \hat{p}_i = d_1 \cdot p_2 + d_2 \cdot p_1,$$

where $|d_i| \leq 2^{\eta-3\rho-\log n} = 2^{\eta-3\rho-\log 2}$.

Our results

- We present an algorithm to solve the CRT-ACD problem

Our algorithm consists of 2 steps

- The first step is to obtain a dual instance only from the CRT-ACD samples.
- Using the previous algorithm for CRT-ACDwDI, all the factors p_i can be recovered.

Observation

Put $b_j := \text{CRT}_{(p_1, p_2)}(r_{j,1}, r_{j,2}) = p_1 \cdot q_{j,1} + r_{j,1} = p_2 \cdot q_{j,2} + r_{j,2}$.

For any dual instance $d = d_1 \cdot \hat{p}_1 + d_2 \cdot \hat{p}_2$, the followings hold:

$$[d \cdot b_j]_N \equiv [d_1 \cdot \hat{p}_1 \cdot (p_1 \cdot q_{j,1} + r_{j,1}) + d_2 \cdot \hat{p}_2 \cdot (p_2 \cdot q_{j,2} + r_{j,2})]_N$$

$$\equiv [d_1 \cdot \hat{p}_1 \cdot r_{j,1} + d_2 \cdot \hat{p}_2 \cdot r_{j,2}]_N$$

$$= d_1 \cdot \hat{p}_1 \cdot r_{j,1} + d_2 \cdot \hat{p}_2 \cdot r_{j,2} \text{ **Small!** compared to } N$$

$$\because |d_i| \leq 2^{\eta-3\rho-\log 2}, \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 d_i \cdot r_{j,i} \cdot \hat{p}_i \right| \leq 2^{2\eta-2\rho} \ll N/2$$

Step 1: How to find a dual instance

Consider a lattice \mathcal{L} generated by the following matrix:

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & N & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_2 & 0 & N & 0 & 0 \\ b_3 & 0 & 0 & N & 0 \\ b_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$$

- $([d]_N, [d \cdot b_1]_N, [d \cdot b_2]_N, [d \cdot b_3]_N, [d \cdot b_4]_N)^T$ is a **short vector** in a lattice \mathcal{L} if d is a dual instance.
- We will show that the **first entry** of any short vectors in a lattice \mathcal{L} is a **dual instance**.

Step 1: Idea Sketch

Let $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5)^T$ be a lattice point of \mathcal{L} . We hold:

- For any element $E_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be written as $e_1 \cdot \hat{p}_1 + e_2 \cdot \hat{p}_2$.
- $E_i = [E_1 \cdot b_i]_N = e_1 \cdot r_{i,1} \cdot \hat{p}_1 + e_2 \cdot r_{i,2} \cdot \hat{p}_2 \pmod N$.

Hence, we have the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E} &= (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5) \\ &= (e_1, e_2) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{p}_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r_{1,1} & r_{2,1} & r_{3,1} & r_{4,1} \\ 1 & r_{1,2} & r_{2,2} & r_{3,2} & r_{4,2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= E \cdot \hat{P} \cdot R \pmod N\end{aligned}$$

We want to show that $\|E \cdot \hat{P} \pmod N\|_\infty$ is small. It implies that $\|E\|_\infty$ is small.

Step 1: Idea Sketch

Let $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5)^T$ be a lattice point of \mathcal{L} . We hold:

- For any element $E_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be written as $e_1 \cdot \hat{p}_1 + e_2 \cdot \hat{p}_2$.
- $E_i = [E_1 \cdot b_i]_N = e_1 \cdot r_{i,1} \cdot \hat{p}_1 + e_2 \cdot r_{i,2} \cdot \hat{p}_2 \pmod N$.

Hence, we have the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E} &= (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5) \\ &= (e_1, e_2) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{p}_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r_{1,1} & r_{2,1} & r_{3,1} & r_{4,1} \\ 1 & r_{1,2} & r_{2,2} & r_{3,2} & r_{4,2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= E \cdot \hat{P} \cdot R \pmod N\end{aligned}$$

We want to show that $\|E \cdot \hat{P} \pmod N\|_\infty$ is small. It implies that $\|E\|_\infty$ is small.

Step 1: Idea Sketch

We obtain the inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\|E \cdot \hat{P} \bmod N\|_\infty &= \|\mathbf{E} \cdot R^{-1} \bmod N\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{E} \cdot R^{-1}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{E}\|_\infty \cdot \|R^{-1}\|_\infty \cdot n,\end{aligned}$$

where R^{-1} is a right inverse of R .

We show that the smallness of

- $\|\mathbf{E}\|_\infty$ with a lattice reduction algorithm. (It is possible when $n \leq \eta - 4 \cdot \rho$.)
- $\|R^{-1}\|_\infty$ with Gaussian Heuristics.

From the equation, the size of $e_i \cdot \hat{p}_i$ is bounded for all i .

Let n, η, ρ be parameters of the CRT-ACD Problem. When $2n$ instances are given, we can find a dual instance under the condition

- $n \leq \eta - 4\rho$ in polynomial time with LLL algorithm
- $n \leq \frac{\beta-1}{2\log\beta} \cdot (\eta - 4\rho)$ in $2^{O(\beta)}$ time with BKZ algorithm

Experimental Results

CRT-ACD	η	ρ_{\max}^1	time
Our result	150	70	5m
	300	110	1h
	450	190	2h
	600	300	3.1h
	750	370	4.1h
	900	450	6.2h
	1500	700	10h

Here the number of prime factors, n , is set to be 50.

¹The ρ_{\max} value corresponding to η is the maximum ρ value on which the experiment succeeded.

- Product of $b = \text{CRT}_{(p_i)}(r_i)$ and $d = e_1 \cdot p_2 + e_2 \cdot p_1$:

$$[b \cdot d]_N = e_1 \cdot r_1 \cdot p_2 + e_2 \cdot r_2 \cdot p_1. \quad \text{(an integer equation!)}$$

- We can compute it with $b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot b_3 \cdot d$:

$$\begin{aligned} [b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot b_3 \cdot d]_N &= r_{11}r_{12}r_{13}e_1p_2 + r_{21}r_{22}r_{23}e_2p_1 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{21} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} r_{13} & \\ & r_{23} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_1p_2 & \\ & e_2p_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} r_{12} \\ r_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

for $b_i = \text{CRT}(r_{ij})$.

- Product of $b = \text{CRT}_{(p_i)}(r_i)$ and $d = e_1 \cdot p_2 + e_2 \cdot p_1$:

$$[b \cdot d]_N = e_1 \cdot r_1 \cdot p_2 + e_2 \cdot r_2 \cdot p_1. \quad \text{(an integer equation!)}$$

- We can compute it with $b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot b_3 \cdot d$:

$$\begin{aligned} [b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot b_3 \cdot d]_N &= r_{11}r_{12}r_{13}e_1p_2 + r_{21}r_{22}r_{23}e_2p_1 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{21} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} r_{13} & \\ & r_{23} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_1p_2 & \\ & e_2p_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} r_{12} \\ r_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

for $b_i = \text{CRT}(r_{ij})$.

- Changing the indices j and k , compute $[b_j \cdot b_k \cdot b_3 \cdot d]_N$, and compose a matrix W_1

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{21} \\ r_{12} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} r_{13} & \\ & r_{23} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e_1 p_2 & \\ & e_2 p_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} r_{12} & r_{11} \\ r_{22} & r_{21} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \hat{R} \cdot \text{diag}((r_{13})e_1 p_2, (r_{23})e_2 p_1) \cdot R \end{aligned}$$

It is a matrix composed of secret parameter!

Build Equations

- By removing b_3

$$[b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot b_3 \cdot d]_N \rightarrow [b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot d]_N,$$

we obtain

$$W_2 = \hat{R} \cdot \text{diag}(e_1 p_2, e_2 p_1) \cdot R$$

- W_1 and W_2 are of the same form except for the middle matrix.

$$W_1 \cdot W_2^{-1} = \hat{R} \cdot \text{diag}(r_{13}, r_{23}) \cdot \hat{R}^{-1}.$$

Its eigenvalues are r_{13}, r_{23} .

Solve Equations

- Recover p_i : By definition,
 - p_1 divides $b_3 - r_{13}$ and $N = p_1 \cdot p_2$.

Hence, one can recover p_1 by computing GCD.

- By repeating this, we can find all the **secret p_i 's**.

- We present a reduction from **CRT-ACD** problem to **CRT-ACDwDI** problem
- Combining the previous result, we solve the **CRT-ACD** problem under some constraints.
- We also provide an algorithm for distinguishing between **CRT-ACD** instances and random instances. Please refer to our paper.
- It would be an interesting problem to extend solvable parameter conditions.

Thank you
for your attention.