



Equidistribution Among Cosets of Elliptic Curve Points in Intervals

Kim Taechan Mehdi Tibouchi

NTT Secure Platform Laboratories

NutMiC, 2019-06-26

Our result, informally

- ▶ $E: y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$ elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q
- ▶ $H \subset E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ relatively large subgroup (for example, if $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = h \cdot n$, h small cofactor and n prime, think of H as the cyclic subgroup of order n)
- ▶ $I \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ interval (this is well-defined even for q a prime power)

Theorem (informal)

If I is **large enough**, then the points in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with their x -coordinate in I are close to uniformly distributed among the cosets modulo H

Motivation: an attack on qDSA

- ▶ Fault attack on the signature scheme qDSA [TTA18]
- ▶ Signature scheme defined over Curve25519: Montgomery curve with group structure $E(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times H$ ($H = \langle P \rangle$ cyclic of large prime order n)
- ▶ Main operation during signing: compute scalar multiplication of the base point P by random nonce k , using x -only arithmetic
- ▶ Idea of the attack: perturb the point P to get a faulty point \tilde{P} with different x -coordinate. If **lucky**, \tilde{P} of order $8n$. Then, the signature leaks 3 bits of the nonce k
- ▶ Attack on biased nonces: with many such perturbed signatures, recover the signing key!
- ▶ Are we lucky often enough?

Motivation: fault injection

- ▶ How do we perturb P to get \tilde{P} ?
- ▶ Fault injection!
- ▶ One possible technique involves hitting the memory cells where the x -coordinate is stored with lasers
- ▶ Effect: randomly flip the bits in the memory region struck by the laser beam
- ▶ Question: does this reliably yield a lucky faulty point (of order $8n$)?

Motivation: connection with our result

- ▶ The faulty point obtained with lasers has an x -coordinate of the form: $\tilde{x} = x_0 + 2^k \cdot z$, with z a uniform ℓ -bit integer
 - ▶ ℓ : width of the laser beam
 - ▶ k : index of least significant bit struck by the beam
- ▶ The set of all \tilde{x} 's is an **interval** of \mathbb{F}_p , of length 2^ℓ
- ▶ By our result: for ℓ large enough, the points in $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of the form \tilde{P} are close to uniformly distributed among the cosets modulo H
 - ▶ note that some \tilde{x} do not correspond to a point in $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ (but on the twist): this is easy to detect, so ok

Motivation: success probability

- ▶ In particular, (still for ℓ large enough) the faulty points \tilde{P} in $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ have probability very close to $1/2$ of being of order $8n$ (4 suitable cosets mod H out of 8)
- ▶ Additional theorem (previously known): a faulty point has probability very close to $1/2$ to end up on E rather than on the twist
- ▶ Conclusion: “lucky” with probability $\approx 1/4$, which is large enough for the attack to work!

An analogy

- ▶ To get a sense of how we obtain our result: suppose we wanted to prove something similar in the simpler group \mathbb{F}_p^\times
- ▶ The statement would become: consider a large subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{F}_p$; for I large enough, the elements of I are close to uniformly distributed among the cosets modulo G
- ▶ Simplest case: $G = (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$ (subgroup of squares). Then, there are two cosets: quadratic residues and nonresidues
- ▶ Consider an interval $I = [x, \dots, x + h)$. The elements of I are close to uniformly distributed among cosets mod G if the proportion $N^+(I)/h$ of quadratic residues in I and the proportion $N^-(I)/h$ of nonquadratic residues in I are both close to $1/2$

An analogy

- ▶ To get a sense of how we obtain our result: suppose we wanted to prove something similar in the simpler group \mathbb{F}_p^\times
- ▶ The statement would become: consider a large subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{F}_p$; for I large enough, the elements of I are close to uniformly distributed among the cosets modulo G
- ▶ Simplest case: $G = (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$ (subgroup of squares). Then, there are two cosets: quadratic residues and nonresidues
- ▶ Consider an interval $I = [x, \dots, x + h)$. The elements of I are close to uniformly distributed among cosets mod G if the proportion $N^+(I)/h$ of quadratic residues in I and the proportion $N^-(I)/h$ of nonquadratic residues in I are both close to $1/2$

An analogy

- ▶ To get a sense of how we obtain our result: suppose we wanted to prove something similar in the simpler group \mathbb{F}_p^\times
- ▶ The statement would become: consider a large subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{F}_p$; for I large enough, the elements of I are close to uniformly distributed among the cosets modulo G
- ▶ Simplest case: $G = (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$ (subgroup of squares). Then, there are two cosets: quadratic residues and nonresidues
- ▶ Consider an interval $I = [x, \dots, x + h)$. The elements of I are close to uniformly distributed among cosets mod G if the proportion $N^+(I)/h$ of quadratic residues in I and the proportion $N^-(I)/h$ of nonquadratic residues in I are both close to $1/2$

An analogy

- ▶ To get a sense of how we obtain our result: suppose we wanted to prove something similar in the simpler group \mathbb{F}_p^\times
- ▶ The statement would become: consider a large subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{F}_p$; for I large enough, the elements of I are close to uniformly distributed among the cosets modulo G
- ▶ Simplest case: $G = (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$ (subgroup of squares). Then, there are two cosets: quadratic residues and nonresidues
- ▶ Consider an interval $I = [x, \dots, x + h)$. The elements of I are close to uniformly distributed among cosets mod G if the proportion $N^+(I)/h$ of quadratic residues in I and the proportion $N^-(I)/h$ of nonquadratic residues in I are both close to $1/2$

Statistical distance

- ▶ This is measured by the **statistical distance**:

$$\Delta_1(I) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \frac{N^+(I)}{h} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{N^-(I)}{h} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right)$$

- ▶ If at least half of the elements of I are quadratic residues, then $N^+(I)/h \geq 1/2 \geq N^-(I)/h$, and hence the statistical distance becomes:

$$\Delta_1(I) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N^+(I)}{h} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{N^-(I)}{h} \right) = \frac{1}{2h} (N^+(I) - N^-(I))$$

and similarly, if at least half the elements are nonquadratic residues, the opposite equality holds. Hence, we almost always have:

$$\Delta_1(I) = \frac{1}{2h} |N^+(I) - N^-(I)|$$

Statistical distance

- ▶ This is measured by the **statistical distance**:

$$\Delta_1(I) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \frac{N^+(I)}{h} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{N^-(I)}{h} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \right)$$

- ▶ If at least half of the elements of I are quadratic residues, then $N^+(I)/h \geq 1/2 \geq N^-(I)/h$, and hence the statistical distance becomes:

$$\Delta_1(I) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N^+(I)}{h} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{N^-(I)}{h} \right) = \frac{1}{2h} (N^+(I) - N^-(I))$$

and similarly, if at least half the elements are nonquadratic residues, the opposite equality holds. Hence, we almost always have:

$$\Delta_1(I) = \frac{1}{2h} |N^+(I) - N^-(I)|$$

Estimating the statistical distance

- ▶ Recall that $y \in N^+(I)$ if the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = +1$ and $y \in N^-(I)$ if $\left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = -1$. Therefore:

$$N^+(I) - N^-(I) = \sum_{y=x}^{x+h-1} \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)$$

- ▶ This is an example of **character sum**
- ▶ There is extensive research on establishing bounds on character sums. The epitome of such results in the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality:

$$\left| \sum_{y=x}^{x+h-1} \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) \right| < \sqrt{p} \log p,$$

independently of h .

- ▶ under GRH, a bound of the form $O(\sqrt{p} \log \log p)$ can also be obtained, but the square root is provably optimal

Estimating the statistical distance

- ▶ Recall that $y \in N^+(I)$ if the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = +1$ and $y \in N^-(I)$ if $\left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = -1$. Therefore:

$$N^+(I) - N^-(I) = \sum_{y=x}^{x+h-1} \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)$$

- ▶ This is an example of **character sum**
- ▶ There is extensive research on establishing bounds on character sums. The epitome of such results in the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality:

$$\left| \sum_{y=x}^{x+h-1} \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) \right| < \sqrt{p} \log p,$$

independently of h .

- ▶ under GRH, a bound of the form $O(\sqrt{p} \log \log p)$ can also be obtained, but the square root is provably optimal

Estimating the statistical distance

- ▶ Recall that $y \in N^+(I)$ if the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = +1$ and $y \in N^-(I)$ if $\left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = -1$. Therefore:

$$N^+(I) - N^-(I) = \sum_{y=x}^{x+h-1} \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)$$

- ▶ This is an example of **character sum**
- ▶ There is extensive research on establishing bounds on character sums. The epitome of such results in the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality:

$$\left| \sum_{y=x}^{x+h-1} \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) \right| < \sqrt{p} \log p,$$

independently of h .

- ▶ under GRH, a bound of the form $O(\sqrt{p} \log \log p)$ can also be obtained, but the square root is provably optimal

Equidistribution of squares and non squares

- ▶ In the case of the previous setting, this says that:

$$\Delta_1(I) < \frac{\sqrt{p} \log p}{2h}.$$

- ▶ In particular, if $h \geq p^{1/2+\epsilon}$, $\Delta_1(I)$ is negligible: if you flip a little over half of the bits of an element of \mathbb{F}_p^\times , the result is close to uniformly distributed between quadratic and nonquadratic residues
- ▶ Actually, in the case of character sums over \mathbb{F}_p^\times , we can improve things further using the Burgess bound, which gives non-trivial bounds as soon as $h \geq p^{1/4+\epsilon}$: flipping $> 1/4$ of the bits is OK
 - ▶ best we can do with current techniques (?)
 - ▶ no counterpart of the Burgess bound in our setting (character sums on elliptic curves)

Equidistribution of squares and non squares

- ▶ In the case of the previous setting, this says that:

$$\Delta_1(I) < \frac{\sqrt{p} \log p}{2h}.$$

- ▶ In particular, if $h \geq p^{1/2+\epsilon}$, $\Delta_1(I)$ is negligible: if you flip a little over half of the bits of an element of \mathbb{F}_p^\times , the result is close to uniformly distributed between quadratic and nonquadratic residues
- ▶ Actually, in the case of character sums over \mathbb{F}_p^\times , we can improve things further using the Burgess bound, which gives non-trivial bounds as soon as $h \geq p^{1/4+\epsilon}$: flipping $> 1/4$ of the bits is OK
 - ▶ best we can do with current techniques (?)
 - ▶ no counterpart of the Burgess bound in our setting (character sums on elliptic curves)

Equidistribution of squares and non squares

- ▶ In the case of the previous setting, this says that:

$$\Delta_1(l) < \frac{\sqrt{p} \log p}{2h}.$$

- ▶ In particular, if $h \geq p^{1/2+\epsilon}$, $\Delta_1(l)$ is negligible: if you flip a little over half of the bits of an element of \mathbb{F}_p^\times , the result is close to uniformly distributed between quadratic and nonquadratic residues
- ▶ Actually, in the case of character sums over \mathbb{F}_p^\times , we can improve things further using the Burgess bound, which gives non-trivial bounds as soon as $h \geq p^{1/4+\epsilon}$: flipping $> 1/4$ of the bits is OK
 - ▶ best we can do with current techniques (?)
 - ▶ no counterpart of the Burgess bound in our setting (character sums on elliptic curves)

What about other subgroups?

- ▶ Does the above discussion generalize to other subgroups $G \subset \mathbb{F}_p^\times$?
- ▶ Yes, using higher-power residue symbols. For a subgroup of index d , it suffices to obtain bounds on short character sums of the form:

$$\sum_{y \in I} \chi(y)$$

for χ all non trivial powers of the d -th power residue symbols

- ▶ Intuition: this gives the discrete Fourier transform. From there, we can apply Parseval to express the Euclidean distance between the desired distribution and uniform. Then apply Cauchy–Schwarz to get the L^1 distance, which is the statistical distance!

What about other subgroups?

- ▶ Does the above discussion generalize to other subgroups $G \subset \mathbb{F}_p^\times$?
- ▶ Yes, using higher-power residue symbols. For a subgroup of index d , it suffices to obtain bounds on short character sums of the form:

$$\sum_{y \in I} \chi(y)$$

for χ all non trivial powers of the d -th power residue symbols

- ▶ Intuition: this gives the discrete Fourier transform. From there, we can apply Parseval to express the Euclidean distance between the desired distribution and uniform. Then apply Cauchy–Schwarz to get the L^1 distance, which is the statistical distance!

What about other subgroups?

- ▶ Does the above discussion generalize to other subgroups $G \subset \mathbb{F}_p^\times$?
- ▶ Yes, using higher-power residue symbols. For a subgroup of index d , it suffices to obtain bounds on short character sums of the form:

$$\sum_{y \in I} \chi(y)$$

for χ all non trivial powers of the d -th power residue symbols

- ▶ Intuition: this gives the discrete Fourier transform. From there, we can apply Parseval to express the Euclidean distance between the desired distribution and uniform. Then apply Cauchy–Schwarz to get the L^1 distance, which is the statistical distance!

Character bounds on intervals

- ▶ Most general theorems only provide character sum bounds over all of the base field, not intervals of it
- ▶ However, general convolution approach to bounds on intervals from bounds on **twisted** (or “mixed”) character sums over all of \mathbb{F}_p :

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(y) e^{2i\pi\alpha y/p}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ (in other words, sums of the form $\sum_y \chi(y)\psi(y)$ with ψ additive character of \mathbb{F}_p)

- ▶ Consequence of the following general bound:

$$\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left| \sum_{\beta \in I} \psi(\beta) \right| \leq p(1 + \log p)$$

(Ψ group of additive characters of \mathbb{F}_p). Generalizes to non prime finite fields too

Character bounds on intervals

- ▶ Most general theorems only provide character sum bounds over all of the base field, not intervals of it
- ▶ However, general convolution approach to bounds on intervals from bounds on **twisted** (or “mixed”) character sums over all of \mathbb{F}_p :

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(y) e^{2i\pi\alpha y/p}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ (in other words, sums of the form $\sum_y \chi(y)\psi(y)$ with ψ additive character of \mathbb{F}_p)

- ▶ Consequence of the following general bound:

$$\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left| \sum_{\beta \in I} \psi(\beta) \right| \leq p(1 + \log p)$$

(Ψ group of additive characters of \mathbb{F}_p). Generalizes to non prime finite fields too

Character bounds on intervals

- ▶ Most general theorems only provide character sum bounds over all of the base field, not intervals of it
- ▶ However, general convolution approach to bounds on intervals from bounds on **twisted** (or “mixed”) character sums over all of \mathbb{F}_p :

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(y) e^{2i\pi\alpha y/p}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ (in other words, sums of the form $\sum_y \chi(y)\psi(y)$ with ψ additive character of \mathbb{F}_p)

- ▶ Consequence of the following general bound:

$$\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left| \sum_{\beta \in I} \psi(\beta) \right| \leq p(1 + \log p)$$

(Ψ group of additive characters of \mathbb{F}_p). Generalizes to non prime finite fields too

Back to our problem

- ▶ How does this analogy play out in our original context?
- ▶ Recall: we have an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{F}_q , a subgroup $H \subset E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ We would like to argue that points in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with their x -coordinate in I are equidistributed among the cosets mod H . Nothing special about x , so more generally, we can consider the problem for any rational function f on E

Back to our problem

- ▶ How does this analogy play out in our original context?
- ▶ Recall: we have an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{F}_q , a subgroup $H \subset E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ We would like to argue that points in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with their x -coordinate in I are equidistributed among the cosets mod H . Nothing special about x , so more generally, we can consider the problem for any rational function f on E

Back to our problem

- ▶ How does this analogy play out in our original context?
- ▶ Recall: we have an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{F}_q , a subgroup $H \subset E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ We would like to argue that points in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with their x -coordinate in I are equidistributed among the cosets mod H . Nothing special about x , so more generally, we can consider the problem for any rational function f on E

Statistical distance again

- ▶ Define:

$$N(I) := |\{P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q) : f(P) \in I\}|$$

$$N(P_0; I) := |\{P \in P_0 + H : f(P) \in I\}|$$

- ▶ The statistical distance between the uniform distribution over cosets and the distribution among cosets of points with $f(P) \in I$ is given by:

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P_0 \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)/H} \left| \frac{N(P_0; I)}{N(I)} - \frac{1}{[E(\mathbb{F}_q) : H]} \right|$$

where $[E(\mathbb{F}_q) : H] = |E(\mathbb{F}_q)/H|$ is the index of H in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$

- ▶ How do we bound this?

Statistical distance again

- ▶ Define:

$$N(I) := |\{P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q) : f(P) \in I\}|$$

$$N(P_0; I) := |\{P \in P_0 + H : f(P) \in I\}|$$

- ▶ The statistical distance between the uniform distribution over cosets and the distribution among cosets of points with $f(P) \in I$ is given by:

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P_0 \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)/H} \left| \frac{N(P_0; I)}{N(I)} - \frac{1}{[E(\mathbb{F}_q) : H]} \right|$$

where $[E(\mathbb{F}_q) : H] = |E(\mathbb{F}_q)/H|$ is the index of H in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$

- ▶ How do we bound this?

Statistical distance again

- ▶ Define:

$$N(I) := |\{P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q) : f(P) \in I\}|$$

$$N(P_0; I) := |\{P \in P_0 + H : f(P) \in I\}|$$

- ▶ The statistical distance between the uniform distribution over cosets and the distribution among cosets of points with $f(P) \in I$ is given by:

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P_0 \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)/H} \left| \frac{N(P_0; I)}{N(I)} - \frac{1}{[E(\mathbb{F}_q) : H]} \right|$$

where $[E(\mathbb{F}_q) : H] = |E(\mathbb{F}_q)/H|$ is the index of H in $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$

- ▶ How do we bound this?

Ingredients we need

- ▶ This is again carried out using character sum bounds. We use the Kohel–Shparlinski bound on the sums:

$$S(\omega, \psi, f) := \sum_{\substack{P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ f(P) \neq \infty}} \omega(P) \psi(f(P))$$

(ω character of the group $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, ψ additive character of \mathbb{F}_q , not both trivial)

- ▶ Kohel and Shparlinski show:

$$|S(\omega, \psi, f)| \leq 2 \deg(f) q^{1/2}.$$

- ▶ This bound + convolution technique to get bounds on intervals + Cauchy–Schwarz: enough to bound the statistical distance

Ingredients we need

- ▶ This is again carried out using character sum bounds. We use the Kohel–Shparlinski bound on the sums:

$$S(\omega, \psi, f) := \sum_{\substack{P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ f(P) \neq \infty}} \omega(P) \psi(f(P))$$

(ω character of the group $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, ψ additive character of \mathbb{F}_q , not both trivial)

- ▶ Kohel and Shparlinski show:

$$|S(\omega, \psi, f)| \leq 2 \deg(f) q^{1/2}.$$

- ▶ This bound + convolution technique to get bounds on intervals + Cauchy–Schwarz: enough to bound the statistical distance

Ingredients we need

- ▶ This is again carried out using character sum bounds. We use the Kohel–Shparlinski bound on the sums:

$$S(\omega, \psi, f) := \sum_{\substack{P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ f(P) \neq \infty}} \omega(P) \psi(f(P))$$

(ω character of the group $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, ψ additive character of \mathbb{F}_q , not both trivial)

- ▶ Kohel and Shparlinski show:

$$|S(\omega, \psi, f)| \leq 2 \deg(f) q^{1/2}.$$

- ▶ This bound + convolution technique to get bounds on intervals + Cauchy–Schwarz: enough to bound the statistical distance

Our result, formally

Theorem

For any interval $I \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, the statistical distance Δ_1 between the uniform distribution on the set of points $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $f(P) \in I$ and the uniform distribution on $E(\mathbb{F}_q)/H$ is bounded as:

$$\Delta_1 \leq \frac{1}{N(I)} \cdot [E(\mathbb{F}_q) : H]^{1/2} \cdot 2 \deg(f) q^{1/2} (1 + \log p).$$

If $|I| = \Omega(q^{1/2+\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and $\deg f = O(1)$, we have:

$$\Delta_1 = O\left(\frac{[E(\mathbb{F}_q) : H]^{1/2} q^{1/2} \log p}{|I|}\right).$$

Negligible as soon as $|I| \geq \sqrt{[E(\mathbb{F}_q) : H]} \cdot q^{1/2+\epsilon}$.

Consequence for our original problem

- ▶ By the previous theorem: a random fault on a little more than half the bits of the x -coordinates yields a point equidistributed among cosets
 - ▶ note $\sqrt{[E(\mathbb{F}_p) : H]} = \sqrt{8}$ in our case of interest
- ▶ Is this satisfactory?
- ▶ Not completely: intuitively, we expect to have a similar equidistribution result over much shorter intervals (flipping only a few bits should suffice)
- ▶ However, character sum techniques seem to be fundamentally limited to square-root bounds

Consequence for our original problem

- ▶ By the previous theorem: a random fault on a little more than half the bits of the x -coordinates yields a point equidistributed among cosets
 - ▶ note $\sqrt{[E(\mathbb{F}_p) : H]} = \sqrt{8}$ in our case of interest
- ▶ Is this satisfactory?
- ▶ Not completely: intuitively, we expect to have a similar equidistribution result over much shorter intervals (flipping only a few bits should suffice)
- ▶ However, character sum techniques seem to be fundamentally limited to square-root bounds

Consequence for our original problem

- ▶ By the previous theorem: a random fault on a little more than half the bits of the x -coordinates yields a point equidistributed among cosets
 - ▶ note $\sqrt{[E(\mathbb{F}_p) : H]} = \sqrt{8}$ in our case of interest
- ▶ Is this satisfactory?
- ▶ Not completely: intuitively, we expect to have a similar equidistribution result over much shorter intervals (flipping only a few bits should suffice)
- ▶ However, character sum techniques seem to be fundamentally limited to square-root bounds

Consequence for our original problem

- ▶ By the previous theorem: a random fault on a little more than half the bits of the x -coordinates yields a point equidistributed among cosets
 - ▶ note $\sqrt{[E(\mathbb{F}_p) : H]} = \sqrt{8}$ in our case of interest
- ▶ Is this satisfactory?
- ▶ Not completely: intuitively, we expect to have a similar equidistribution result over much shorter intervals (flipping only a few bits should suffice)
- ▶ However, character sum techniques seem to be fundamentally limited to square-root bounds

Could the result be improved?

- ▶ Sometimes, bounds on very short intervals can be obtained using averaging techniques
- ▶ For example: consider a character sum on average over varying base fields, varying curves, etc.
- ▶ However, seems hard to apply to our setting: one would need a family of curves that all share a subgroup with the given structure
 - ▶ average over the corresponding modular curve?
 - ▶ the bound would at least need to grow with the genus of the curve...
- ▶ Even if such a result could be obtained, not clear it would be meaningful in practice
 - ▶ interested in a specific curve, not a randomly chosen curve in a family

Could the result be improved?

- ▶ Sometimes, bounds on very short intervals can be obtained using averaging techniques
- ▶ For example: consider a character sum on average over varying base fields, varying curves, etc.
- ▶ However, seems hard to apply to our setting: one would need a family of curves that all share a subgroup with the given structure
 - ▶ average over the corresponding modular curve?
 - ▶ the bound would at least need to grow with the genus of the curve...
- ▶ Even if such a result could be obtained, not clear it would be meaningful in practice
 - ▶ interested in a specific curve, not a randomly chosen curve in a family

Could the result be improved?

- ▶ Sometimes, bounds on very short intervals can be obtained using averaging techniques
- ▶ For example: consider a character sum on average over varying base fields, varying curves, etc.
- ▶ However, seems hard to apply to our setting: one would need a family of curves that all share a subgroup with the given structure
 - ▶ average over the corresponding modular curve?
 - ▶ the bound would at least need to grow with the genus of the curve...
- ▶ Even if such a result could be obtained, not clear it would be meaningful in practice
 - ▶ interested in a specific curve, not a randomly chosen curve in a family

Could the result be improved?

- ▶ Sometimes, bounds on very short intervals can be obtained using averaging techniques
- ▶ For example: consider a character sum on average over varying base fields, varying curves, etc.
- ▶ However, seems hard to apply to our setting: one would need a family of curves that all share a subgroup with the given structure
 - ▶ average over the corresponding modular curve?
 - ▶ the bound would at least need to grow with the genus of the curve...
- ▶ Even if such a result could be obtained, not clear it would be meaningful in practice
 - ▶ interested in a specific curve, not a randomly chosen curve in a family

Thank you!